



Inspection Report

Lovelace Respiratory Research Institute
2425 Ridgecrest S E
Albuquerque, NM 87108

Customer ID: **1072**

Certificate: **85-R-0003**

Site: 001

LOVELACE RESPIRATORY RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Type: ROUTINE INSPECTION

Date: Jun-24-2014

2.31 (d) (1) (ii) REPEAT

INSTITUTIONAL ANIMAL CARE AND USE COMMITTEE (IACUC).

The Principal Investigator (PI) on an IACUC approved protocol, 12-086, did not have a consideration for alternatives to a procedure involving pain and distress to rabbits. Rabbits were to be conditioned to a mechanical restraint device designed for nose-only inhalation exposure prior to the actual inhalation exposure portion of the study. Numerous rabbits needed to be repositioned in these inhalation exposure tubes throughout the conditioning procedures and 4 rabbits either died or required euthanasia as a result of their struggle to reposition themselves or through blocking of their airways due to improper positioning. The search for alternatives to painful and distressful procedures provided to the IACUC in the review of the protocol only addressed the infectious agent portion of the study and the PI specifically stated: " The only procedure that produces pain, discomfort, or distress is the disease sequelae itself .". This procedure clearly caused distress and pain to these rabbits but no alternatives to the procedure appear to have been considered by the PI.

The IACUC shall determine that the principal investigator has considered alternatives to procedures that may cause more than momentary or slight pain or distress to the animals, and has provided a written narrative description of the methods and sources, e. g., the Animal Welfare Information Center, used to determine that alternatives were not available.

To be corrected on all active and newly submitted protocols involving animal activities and not later than the next scheduled IACUC meeting.

2.31 (d) (1) (ix)

INSTITUTIONAL ANIMAL CARE AND USE COMMITTEE (IACUC).

The method for tracking the training and qualifications of personnel involved in research activities does not adequately allow the IACUC to determine that all personnel involved in approved procedures involving animals are or will be appropriately trained or qualified in those procedures.

**Personnel involved in the care of ferrets on an IACUC approved protocol, 14-015, were not properly removing all the required personal protective equipment (PPE) when working with ferrets experimentally infected with a disease and the naïve group of ferrets. This manifested in 4 naïve animals being exposed.

Prepared By:

JEANNE KJOS, D.V.M.

JEANNE KJOS, D.V.M. USDA, APHIS, Animal Care

Date:

Title: VETERINARY MEDICAL OFFICER Inspector 4013

Jul-16-2014

Received By:

(b)(6),(b)(7)(c)

Date:

Title:

Jul-16-2014



Inspection Report

**Telemeter surgeries were conducted by a person who was not listed on the IACUC approved protocol, 14-020. It was determined after 5 animals had undergone the surgery that this person was appropriately trained and qualified but had not been listed by the PI on the protocol.

**A rabbit protocol, 12-086, required that all personnel involved in the conditioning of the rabbits to the nose-only inhalation exposure tubes be "at least SSDB 2, 1 (under supervision) or will be trained by authorized personnel prior to performing procedures ". At least two technicians involved in the rabbit conditioning procedures do not have any documentation in their training files or in the SSDB system that they were trained or qualified to perform these procedures and should not have done so without supervision by personnel who were qualified. During two separate conditioning exercises on 8/19/2012 and 8/20/2012, one of these technicians conducted these procedures by themselves.

The SSDB is the system used to track the training and qualifications of all personnel. The IACUC can access this system to check to see if personnel listed on an animal use protocol are qualified to conduct the procedures for which they are listed. However, at least one protocol reviewed during the inspection, 12-086, lists no names of any personnel only the statement: " All personnel performing procedure will be at least SSDB 2, 1 (under supervision) or will be trained by authorized personnel prior to performing procedures". Based on conversations with the Training Specialist and Veterinary staff, there is a pool of technical staff from which researchers can select to personnel to conduct procedures for their approved protocols. . The IACUC reviewers may not actually ever know which personnel the PI chooses to conduct these procedures. The number of highly trained technical staff, especially for ABSL3 procedures, is limited and this pool of resources can be quickly exhausted. As an example, an infectious disease study in the ABSL3 facility involving nonhuman primates required 5-6 people per shift (3 x 8 hrs) for 14 days involving two different groups of animals. This is a very dangerous situation for the personnel involved and the animal welfare of these research animals since the conditions are grueling, environment is hazardous, and the disease process is aggressive and requires euthanasia or death as an endpoint. One of the nonhuman primates involved in this study escaped from its primary enclosure due to personnel not properly securing the enclosure. The animal remained contained in the room and was recaptured but illustrates the type of risk posed by not having enough adequately trained personnel to prevent such errors.

It is imperative that the IACUC is able to assess the training and qualifications for all personnel conducting procedures involving animals to ensure the humane care and use of these animals and to promote the safety and effectiveness of the procedures being conducted.

To be corrected on all active and newly submitted protocols involving animals in conjunction with a full review of the SSDB system or equivalent but not later than the next scheduled IACUC meeting.

2.31 (e) (2)

INSTITUTIONAL ANIMAL CARE AND USE COMMITTEE (IACUC).

Ferrets being held for use in an infectious disease study were unintentionally exposed to one of the agents due to personnel not properly changing their personal protective equipment (PPE). Instead of removing these animals from the study, the Study Director decided to go ahead and infect them with the infectious dose outlined in the protocol. The protocol was approved by the IACUC to give an infectious dose to only 4 animals but 6 animals were used due to the unintentional exposure and the Study Director not wanting to waste these animals. The Study Director did not request approval from the IACUC to add these animals to the study before proceeding with the dosing.

Prepared By:	JEANNE KJOS, D.V.M.		
Title:	JEANNE KJOS, D.V.M.	USDA, APHIS, Animal Care	Date: Jul-16-2014
Received By:	(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)		
Title:			Date: Jul-16-2014



Inspection Report

All research activities involving animals must be approved by the IACUC before conducting these activities to ensure the humane care and use of the animals.

To be corrected from this time forward.

2.33 (b) (3)

ATTENDING VETERINARIAN AND ADEQUATE VETERINARY CARE.

Review of Post Approval Monitoring (PAM) documents dated December 5, 2013 and IACUC meeting minutes dated December 18, 2013 revealed an incident where a mini-pig stopped breathing and technicians tried 5 times to get ahold of vet staff with no response. Technicians handled the anesthetic crisis and there were no animal deaths. This incident generated discussion to come up with verbage on the radio so that the vet staff knows it is an animal crisis and so that other technicians know to keep the radio channel clear of interference.

Daily observation of animals may be accomplished by someone other than the attending veterinarian provided that a mechanism of direct and frequent communication conveys timely and accurate information on problems of animal health to the attending veterinarian. In this incident, timely and accurate information regarding the anesthetic crisis was not conveyed to the attending veterinarian.

Correction should include review of all communication mechanisms for all animal facilities by July 31, 2014 to ensure timely and accurate information can be conveyed to the veterinary staff.

2.38 (f)

MISCELLANEOUS.

(f) Handling:

**On Saturday, August 11, 2012, a group of cynomologus macaques were moved in a chute system for a study procedure. An extra animal (92147) was found in the group. When anesthetized for blood collection, a technician noticed swelling of the left hind limb on NHP 92147. Upon veterinary examination, it was determined that NHP 92147 had a compound fracture of the left lower leg and facial trauma. This animal was provided veterinary care and was eventually euthanized due to a poor response to treatment. This animal was assumed to have been placed back in the wrong pen on Thursday, August 9, 2012. The main cause of the trauma to NHP 92147 was because it was released back into the wrong pen.

**On August 22, 2013, a male Rhesus Macaque (RQ9327), was obtained from his cage and a procedure was performed on him. The study technician misread the animal identification number on the catheter jacket. The animal that was supposed to have the procedure was RQ9427. RQ9327 was euthanized as a result of the procedure and corrective actions were implemented.

The facility has since begun implementing additional identification methods for nonhuman primates and

Prepared By:

JEANNE KJOS, D.V.M.

JEANNE KJOS, D.V.M. USDA, APHIS, Animal Care

Title: VETERINARY MEDICAL OFFICER Inspector 4013

Date:

Jul-16-2014

Received By:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)

Date:

Jul-16-2014

Title:



Inspection Report

other species and provided training to personnel.

Records were reviewed for all rabbits which had undergone conditioning and mechanical restraint in a nose-only inhalation exposure tube under IACUC approved protocol 12-086. Four rabbits experienced distress and/or injuries associated with this conditioning exercise:

**On August 20, 2012, technicians noted that six rabbits needed to be repositioned during the conditioning session because they had turned their heads away from the cone. One rabbit (Study ID A3001) had no hind limb function upon removal from the tube after 40 minutes. Veterinary evaluation and radiographs were performed and it was determined that the animal should be humanely euthanized.

**On August 21, 2012, one rabbit (Study ID A7003) was removed after only 3 minutes from the tube during a conditioning session and was found to have impaired hind limb function. Veterinary evaluation and radiographs were performed, a spinal fracture was diagnosed, and the animal was euthanized.

**On August 21, 2012, the IACUC Coordinator wrote: Do not use until approved by IACUC, FBP, 21 Aug 2012 on the rabbit nose only inhalation exposure tube. Conditioning exercises using these devices were conducted on 8/23/2012 for 18 rabbits, on 8/27/2012 for 19 rabbits (one which died during the exercise), and on 8/28/2012 for 20 rabbits (1 which needed to be euthanized as described below). It is unclear whether all of the inhalation exposure tubes of this design for rabbits were removed from use by the IACUC Coordinator and when they were approved by the IACUC for continued use.

**On August 27, 2012, technicians had to remove one rabbit (Study ID A6003) from the tube after only 7 minutes into the conditioning session. The rabbit was found to be bleeding from the mouth and ear and was not breathing. Pathology confirmed that the rabbit died from asphyxiation.

**On August 28, 2012, technicians had to reposition 5 rabbits during a conditioning session and another rabbit (Study ID A4004) had to be removed from the tube after 11 minutes since it was not noted to be breathing. The rabbit was resuscitated by chest compressions and returned to its cage but was noted to have impaired hind limb function. Veterinary evaluation was performed and it was determined the rabbit had a spinal fracture so was euthanized.

Conditioning of rabbits in these nose-only inhalation exposure tubes did not continue after 8/28/2012 and, according to facility personnel, no adverse events occurred during the study portion of this protocol where rabbits were placed in these tubes. No exposure studies involving rabbits have been conducted at the facility since 2012.

The number of animals injured in these research and husbandry procedures could have been minimized or completely avoided with appropriate handling and training of personnel.

Prepared By:

JEANNE KJOS, D.V.M.

JEANNE KJOS, D.V.M. USDA, APHIS, Animal Care

Title: VETERINARY MEDICAL OFFICER Inspector 4013

Date:
Jul-16-2014

Received By:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)

Title:

Date:
Jul-16-2014



Inspection Report

Handling of all animals shall be done as expeditiously and carefully as possible in a manner that does not cause trauma, behavioral stress, physical harm, or unnecessary discomfort. Correction should include continuing to implement training of personnel in handling of animals and improving identification methods for all animals on an ongoing basis as new studies and new animal models are introduced.

To be corrected from this time forward.

3.80 (a) (2) (i)

PRIMARY ENCLOSURES.

On August 6, 2013, a wound was noted on the skull of a Rhesus macaque (RQ9340). Upon veterinary examination, RQ9340 was found to have a 10cm incised skin wound, from the upper side of the left orbit to the upper side of the right orbit, exposing the frontal bones. The cause of the wound was investigated and found to be a transfer tunnel that had a bar on the inner top which matched the location of the injury on RQ9340's head. This animal was euthanized after anesthesia and suturing as it was stated that no medical procedure could be performed on any animal on this IACUC approved protocol. The transfer tunnel was removed from service.

Primary enclosures must be constructed and maintained so that they have no sharp points or edges that could injure the nonhuman primates. A program to assess all primary enclosures prior to housing animals should be implemented.

To be corrected from this time forward.

3.80 (a) (2) (ii)

PRIMARY ENCLOSURES.

On March 20, 2014, a Rhesus Macaque (RQ9755) was found dead in its cage with the chain from the perch around its neck. RQ9755 had a collar and was housed in a cage in which a commercially available perch had been added.

The corrective action taken by this facility after this incident was to allow no animals with collars to be housed in cages that require the commercial perch.

On February 22, 2014, a male Cynomologus macaque (F353) was very agitated and vocalized during restraint. Once he was placed back into his cage, he favored his left leg with it hanging down while he was on his perch. The animal was anesthetized and x-rays taken. The x-rays showed a spiral fracture of the tibia and the animal was euthanized. Investigation of the incident found a 1 inch gap between the dividing panels which separate one animal from the adjacent animal. It appeared that F353 was able to get his leg through this gap and that is where the break occurred.

Corrective action developed after this incident included the usage of only solid panels to divide the animals.

Primary enclosures must be designed and constructed so that they protect the nonhuman primates from

Prepared By:

JEANNE KJOS, D.V.M.

JEANNE KJOS, D.V.M. USDA, APHIS, Animal Care

Date:

Title: VETERINARY MEDICAL OFFICER Inspector 4013

Jul-16-2014

Received By:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)

Date:

Title:

Jul-16-2014



Inspection Report

injury. A preventive program should be implemented to assess all primary enclosures prior to housing animals.

To be corrected from this time forward.

Inspection conducted on June 23-24, 2014 with facility representatives and APHIS VMO's. Exit interview conducted with facility representatives on 7/16/2014.

Prepared By:

JEANNE KJOS, D.V.M.

JEANNE KJOS, D.V.M. USDA, APHIS, Animal Care

Title: VETERINARY MEDICAL OFFICER Inspector 4013

Date:

Jul-16-2014

Received By:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)

Date:

Jul-16-2014